This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 18 April 2002 (18.04.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 02/30477 A1

(51) International Patent Classification7: A61L 9/00, 9/01, 9/04, 11/00

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/31641

(22) International Filing Date: 9 October 2001 (09.10.2001)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data: 09/685,963 10 October 2000 (10.10.2000) U

(71) Applicants: AUBURN UNIVERSITY [US/US]; Aubrun, AL 36849-5312 (US). HALOSOURCE CORPORA-TION [US/US]; 3005 First Avenue, Suite 300, Seattle, WA 98121 (US).

(72) Inventors: WORLEY, Shelby, D.; 410 Dixic Drive, Auburn, AL 36832 (US). BROUGHTON, Royall, M.; 440 Cross Creek Road, Auburn, AL 36832 (US). WILLIAMS, Jeffrey, F.; 3013 Meinhold Road, Langley, WA 98260 (US).

(74) Agent: CRUZ, Laura, A.; Christensen O'Connor Johnson & Kindness PLLC, Suite 2800, 1420 Fifth Avenue, Scattle, WA 98101 (US). (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

₹

(54) Title: USE OF N-HALAMINE BIOCIDAL POLYMER FOR ODOR CONTROL

(57) Abstract: The present invention is a method of using a polymeric N-halamine biocidal material as an agent preferably used in conjunction with a matrix material for the reduction of noxious odors caused by the decomposition of organic compounds. In a preferred embodiment of the method according to the present invention, the biocidal N-halamine polymer is provided in a comminuted form and mixed with super absorbent polymer to provide a treatment composition. The treatment composition is emplaced within an article to provide intimate contact between the composition and a microorganism-containing fluid. The fluid is absorbed by the super absorbent polymer thereby providing a surface for the biocidal polymer to contact the microorganism.

PCT/US01/31641

5

10

15

20

25

30

USE OF N-HALAMINE BIOCIDAL POLYMER FOR ODOR CONTROL

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a method of using N-halamine biocidal polymer for the purpose of modulating the activity of microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and yeasts to cause a reduction of the noxious odors in articles such as disposable diapers, incontinence pads, bandages, sanitary napkins, pantiliners, sponges, animal litter, carpets, fabrics, and air filters.

Background of the Invention

A variety of microorganisms such as certain bacteria, fungi, and yeasts are capable of aiding the decomposition of bodily fluids such as urine and blood, or in the formation of biofilms, which produce undesirable odors in commercial products. For example, bacteria such as *Bacterium ammoniagenes and Proteus mirabilis* are known to accentuate the decomposition of urea to form noxious ammonia gas through a urease enzyme catalysis mechanism. See U.S. Patent No. 5,992,351. If an effective treatment composition for disrupting (inhibiting) the urease action can be found, the undesirable odor created by ammonia gas can be minimized. By effective, the treatment composition should be insoluble to resist migrating to human skin, cost effective to be commercially viable, and stable to withstand long periods of shelf life, such as is suitable for consumer uses.

Conventional treatments have been reported for the reduction of odors caused by microorganisms containing urease. One treatment method involves the use of quaternary ammonium compounds. See *J. Pediatrics* 39:730 (1951) and U.S. Patent Nos. 5,981,668 and 6,017,561. Another treatment method involves the use of biocidal biguanides. See *J.S.D.C.* 113:48 (1997) and *Tex. Chem. & Color.* 28:28 (1996). Another treatment method involves the use of bacteriostatic boron compounds. See U.S. Patent Nos. 4,949,672; 5,176,108; 5,944,704; and 5,992,351. A further treatment method involves the use of guanidine salt urease inhibitors. See U.S. Patent Nos. 4,957,063; 5,097,799. And yet another treatment method involves the use of absorbent carbon particles. See U.S. Patent No. 5,951,744. And a further treatment method involves the use of cyclodextrin complexing agents. See U.S. Patent Nos. 5,429,628; 5,714,445. And finally, another treatment method involves the use of urease negative bacteria. See U.S. Patent Nos. 5,507,250; 5,634,431. While these methods can provide at least partial relief

from the noxious odors, there are disadvantages with each method including commercial nonviability, skin sensitivity and possibly respiratory problems for the user.

Summary of the Invention

The present invention is a method of using a polymeric N-halamine biocidal material as an agent preferably used in conjunction with a matrix material for the reduction of noxious odors caused by the decomposition of organic compounds.

Suitable biocidal materials suitable for use in the present invention include polymeric cyclic N-halamine biocidal compounds, such as those biocidal polymers including a monomeric repeating unit of one or more structures I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, or IX:

STRUCTURE I:

5

10

INSDOCID: <WO__0230477A1_1_>

STRUCTURE II:

15 <u>STRUCTURE III:</u>

STRUCTURE IV:

STRUCTURE V:

STRUCTURE VI:

CTDIICTIII

5

STRUCTURE VIII:

10 STRUCTURE IX:

wherein X, X^I and X^{II} are independently chlorine, bromine or hydrogen, provided that at least one of X, X^I and X^{II} is chlorine or bromine; R^1 is a hydrogen or C_1 to C_4 alkyl; R^2 is C_1 - C_4 alkyl, benzyl or C_1 - C_4 alkyl-substituted benzyl; and R^3 and R^4 are

independently C_1 - C_4 alkyl, phenyl, C_1 - C_4 alkyl-substituted phenyl, benzyl or C_1 - C_4 alkyl-substituted benzyl, or R^3 and R^4 together form a pentamethylene or tetramethylene moiety.

A preferred biocidal material used in the present invention is poly-1,3-dichloro-5-methyl-5-(4'-vinylphenyl)hydantoin which is an inexpensive derivative of poly-styrene, and which was first described in U.S. Patent No. 5,490,983, the disclosure of which is hereby expressly incorporated by reference. A monomer unit is represented by the graphical formula:

5

10

15

20

25

BNSDOCID: <WO___0230477A1_{->

The biocidal properties of poly-1,3-dichloro-5-methyl-5-(4'-vinylphenyl) hydantoin have been recognized to be useful in water filters. See Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 33:168 (1994); Water Res. Bull. 32:793 (1996); Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 34:4106 (1995); J. Virolog. Meth. 66:263 (1997) Trends in Polym. Sci. 4:364 (1996); Water Cond. & Pur. 39:96 (1997). But to date, the biocidal polymer has not been applied in a matrix material which provides numerous advantages over the conventional use in water filters. The method of using the biocidal polymer according to the present invention includes providing a quantity of the biocidal polymer, then combining the polymer with a fluid permeable or absorptive matrix material to make a treatment composition. The treatment composition can then be placed in an article or container to bring the biocidal polymer in intimate contact with a microorganism-containing fluid. In a preferred embodiment of the method according to the present invention, the biocidal N-halamine polymer is provided in a comminuted form and mixed with an absorbent polymer, such as super absorbent polymer to provide a treatment composition. The treatment composition is placed within an article to provide intimate contact between the composition and a microorganism-containing fluid, which may be a gas or liquid. The fluid is absorbed by the super absorbent polymer thereby providing a surface for the biocidal polymer to contact the microorganism.

In yet another aspect of the present invention, the biocidal polymer can be coated or imbedded onto the matrix material, such as on a fiber, to provide for control against odor-causing microorganisms.

The method according to the present invention is effective against a broad spectrum of pathogens including Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, Candida albicans, Klebsiella terrigena, and rotavirus, among others, causing large log reductions in contact times of the order of a few seconds in water disinfectant applications. Furthermore, it is effective at pH values at least in the range of about 4.5 to about 9.0 and at temperatures at least in the range of about 4°C to about 37°C, and it is capable of action even in water containing heavy chlorine demand caused by bioburden.

The biocidal polymer used in the method of the present invention is insoluble in water and organic compounds and will thus not migrate in liquid media. It is stable for long periods of time in dry storage (a shelf life of at least one year at ambient temperature) and can be produced on an industrial scale. Furthermore, all evidence obtained to date suggests that the material is non-toxic and non-sensitizing to humans and animals upon contact.

The present invention thus provides a solid-state biocidal material which is effective against odor-causing microorganisms, insoluble in bodily fluids so as not to migrate to skin surfaces, stable to withstand lengthy shelf life, non-toxic and non-irritating, and cost effective to be commercially viable.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

The present invention may be understood more readily by reference to the following detailed description of specific embodiments and the examples included therein.

As used herein, "the biocidal polymer" refers to an insoluble N-halamine polymer, including those described in U.S. Patent No. 5,490,983, and preferably is poly-1,3-dichloro-5-methyl-5-(4'-vinylphenyl)hydantoin, although this is not meant to be limiting, as any other insoluble N-halamine polymer provides some degree of odor-limiting capacity.

7

The biocidal polymer to be used according to this invention is preferably mixed in powder or granular form with an absorbent or filler material to provide a treatment

5

- 10

15

20

25

30

5

. 10

15

20

25

30

composition. The absorbent material preferably is a superabsorbent polymer such as ASAP 2000, sold by the Chemdal Corporation of Palatine, Illinois. However, other absorbent materials such as cellulose, diatomaceous earth, cotton, synthetic and natural carpet fibers, cotton or synthetic filter materials can be used. The biocidal polymer preferably comprises a weight percent of about 0.1 to about 5.0 of the treatment composition, and more preferably about 0.5 to about 1.0 for applications involving contact between the treatment composition and human or animal bodily fluids such as occurs in disposable diapers, incontinence pads, bandages, sanitary napkins, pantiliners, sponges, and litter. For applications involving contact between the treatment composition and carpets and other textiles the biocidal polymer will be coated on or embedded in the surfaces of the fibers, granules or other matrix surfaces, at preferably a weight percent of about 0.1 to about 2.0, and more preferably about 0.5 to about 1.0. This will be carried out by using an adhesive or by exposure of heat-softened fibers, granules, etc., to powder streams containing the treatment composition. For air filters, coating techniques or simple embedment of particles of the biocidal polymer into available filter material at preferably a weight percent of about 0.1 to about 2.0, more preferably about 0.5 to about 1.0, can be employed. Since many air filters employ a web of synthetic or cellulosic fibers, the treatment composition resides as a substrate rather than a loose material.

The method according to the present invention will reduce noxious odors to a predetermined level by modulating the level of activity of microorganisms which enhance, through catalytic enzymology, the decomposition of organic matter to ammonia or other noxious materials. The biocidal polymer also will reduce noxious odors on fibers or air filters by microorganisms such as those which cause mildew and molds, as well as those from any liquid or aerosol which might contact the surface of these materials. While not intending to be bound to any particular theory, the mechanism through which the biocidal polymer exerts influence over microorganisms is believed to be a result of surface contact of the microorganism with halogen moieties covalently bound to the hydantoin functional groups of the polymer. The halogen atoms are transferred to the cells of the microorganisms where they cause modulation of activity through a mechanism not completely understood, but probably involving oxidation of essential groups contained within the enzymes comprising the organisms. Halogen moieties can include bromine or chlorine.

Preferably, it is contemplated that a broad variety of absorbent and filler materials can be used in conjunction with the biocidal polymer to provide a treatment composition for reducing noxious odors. One purpose of such materials is to enhance contact of fluids, aerosol particles, and solid contaminants with the treatment composition for sufficient periods of time such that the biocidal polymer particles can affect the odorcausing microorganisms. Matrix materials include, but are not limited to: swellable clays, zeolites, alumina, silica, cellulose, wood pulp, fibers, adhesives, coatings and super absorbent polymers or any combination thereof. The treatment composition according to the present invention can further contain adjuvants such as deodorants, fragrances, pigments, dyes, and any combination thereof for cosmetic purposes. The fluid containing the microorganism is preferably flowed through or absorbed by the resulting matrix.

One advantage of the biocidal polymer of this invention over conventional odorcontrol technology is that the present invention is a more effective biocide against pathogenic microorganisms encountered in medical applications such as *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* than are commercial biocides such as the quaternary ammonium salts. Preferably, the treatment composition can serve a dual function, *i.e.*, modulation of odorcausing microorganisms and of disease-causing pathogens. For this reason the treatment composition of the present invention will have widespread use in hospital settings.

It should be understood that the practice of this invention applies to odors generated by microorganisms in both human and animal fluids as well as to airborne and waterborne microorganisms.

The present invention is more particularly described in the following examples, which is intended as illustrative only since numerous modifications and variations therein will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

EXAMPLES

EXAMPLE-1

Super Absorbent Polymer (SAP)

Super absorbent polymer (SAP) obtained from Chemdal Corp. (Palatine, IL) (ASAP 2000) was mixed with comminuted biocidal polymer, wherein the biocidal polymer was synthesized by the methods outlined in U.S. Patent No. 5,490,983, herein incorporated by reference, in several ratios of weight percentages with 0% biocidal polymer serving as a control. Mixing was accomplished by manually shaking the SAP

5

10

15

20

25

30

and biocidal polymer together in a vial. A 0.25 gram sample of each composition was inoculated with 5.0 milliliters of a challenge suspension containing 10% *Proteus mirabilis* (6.7 x 10⁷ CFU/mL) in phosphate buffered water (pH 7) and 90% supplemented human, female, pooled urine (the supplement was 1.25 grams urea per 25 mL urine). All samples were incubated at 37°C for 6 hours.

An odor test panel including of 13 volunteer nonsmokers evaluated the ammonia odor from the samples after 6 hours of incubation. The volunteers rated the odor on a scale of 0 (no odor) to 10 (strong odor). The averaged results are shown in Table 1. It can be seen in Table 1 that the higher the weight percentage of the biocidal polymer, the lower the average odor rating recorded by the panel.

Weight % Biocidal Polymer x 100%	Mean Panel Odor Rating		
0	8.7		
0.1	7.8		
1.0	2.7		
5.0	2.4		

Table 1. Qualitative Odor Test Data for Mixtures of the Biocidal Polymer and

Super Absorbent Polymer (SAP)

EXAMPLE-2

15

20

25

5

10

Cellulose Diaper Material

Samples of a commercial disposable diaper material (70% cellulose, 30% polyolefin), each weighing about 1 gram, were slurried in 150 milliliters of distilled water in a blender. Then samples of slurried pulp were mixed with biocidal polymer particles (10 to 50 µm) such that the blends contained 0.5, 1.0, and 2.5 percent by weight biocidal polymer. After further mixing in the blender, each sample was transferred to a sterile beaker where it was diluted with a 100 mL portion of distilled water rinse. Each pulp/biocidal polymer mixture was vacuum filtered to remove the water and dried for 48 hours. The resulting "paper" samples then contained the biocidal polymer at the three weight percentages given above.

Each paper sample as well as a control sample of the original diaper material containing no biocidal polymer was then inoculated with 1.0 mL of a suspension of about 1.0X10⁸ CFU/mL of *P. mirabilis* mixed with 5.0 mL of supplemented urine solution

(1.25 grams urea per 25 mL of human, female, pooled urine) in a sterile 250 mL French square bottle. The bottle was constantly aerated with humidified air with any ammonia being produced swept into the bottom of a 1 liter Wheaton bottle containing 1000 mL of ultra-pure water. The water in the Wheaton bottle was stirred constantly with a vortex of about 2 inches. After contact times of 6, 8, 12 and 24 hours, 100 µL aliquots were removed and subjected to ammonia analyses using a SIGMA Diagnostics Ammonia Procedure (No. 171-UV). It was determined by an in-house sniff panel that a 35 mg/L solution of ammonia is the minimum concentration level which can be detected by the average human nose. This translates to 2 mg/L using the SIGMA reagent kit on water aliquots from the Wheaton bottle, i.e., 2 mg/L as registered by the test kit in this experiment should be detectable by the human nose.

The results from this experiment are given in Table II. The data show that for the control containing no biocidal polymer ammonia detectable by the human nose was present after 6 hours contact of the bacteria with the paper. Even after 8 and 12 hours the level of ammonia was not detectable by the human nose for any of the paper samples containing the biocidal polymer. After 24 hours contact, the level was detectable for 0.5 and 1.0 weight percent samples, although considerably reduced relative to the control sample. A slightly higher concentration of ammonia was detected for the 1.0 weight percent sample than for the 0.5 one. This anomaly was probably due to a greater bioburden or less uniform mixing of the biocidal polymer with the cellulose in the former. No ammonia could be detected for the sample containing at least 2.5 weight percent biocidal polymer at 24 hours contact. It can be concluded that the latter concentration of biocidal polymer completely inactivated the odor-causing bacteria. It is evident that dispersing the biocidal polymer throughout a paper matrix can lead to reduction, and even elimination, of odor caused by *P. mirabilis*.

Table II. Quantitative Odor Test Data for Mixtures of Biocidal Polymer and Cellulose/Polyolefin

Weight %	Concentration ^{a,b} of Ammonia Detected at:				
Biocidal	6 hours	8 hours	12 hours	24 hours	
Polymer					

5

10

15

20

25

777 : 1 . 0/				_	
Weight %	Concentration ^{a,b} of Ammonia Detected at:				
Biocidal	6 hours	8 hours 12 hours		24 hours	
Polymer					
0	2.5	6.0	15.4	59.1	
0.5	0	0.42	1.3	9.5	
1.0	0	0.63	1.7	12.1	
2.5	0	0	0	0	

 a Concentration in mg/L; 2 mg/L is detectable by the human nose under the conditions of the experiment.

 b The data for the ammonia test are accurate to within ± 0.5 mg/L.

While the preferred embodiment of the invention has been described, it will be appreciated that various changes can be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

The embodiments of the invention in which an exclusive property or privilege is claimed are defined as follows:

1. A method of controlling the activity of a microorganism in a fluid using N-halamine polymer, comprising:

providing a quantity of biocidal N-halamine polymer;

combining the polymer with a matrix material to provide a treatment composition; and

contacting the composition with a microorganism-containing fluid to modulate the activity of the microorganism.

- 2. The method of Claim 1, wherein the polymer is an insoluble N-halamine polymer.
- 3. The method of Claim 2, wherein the polymer is poly-1,3-dichloro-5-methyl-5-(4'-vinylphenyl)hydantoin.
- 4. The method of Claim 1, wherein the matrix material is selected from the group consisting of swellable clays, zeolites, alumina, silica, cellulose, wood pulp, fibers, adhesives, coatings, super absorbent polymers, papers and any combination thereof.
- 5. The method of Claim 4, wherein the polymer is coated onto any one of the matrix materials.
- 6. The method of Claim 4, wherein the polymer is imbedded into any one of the matrix materials.
- 7. The method of Claim 1, further comprising mixing the composition with an adjuvant selected from the group consisting of deodorants, fragrances, pigments, dyes, and any combination thereof.
 - 8. The method of Claim 1, further comprising:

NSDOCID: <WO

0230477A1 La

absorbing the fluid containing the microorganism onto the matrix material thereby providing the contact between the microorganism with the polymer.

9. The method of Claim 1, further comprising emplacing the composition within an article selected from the group consisting of diapers, incontinence pads, bandages, sanitary napkins, pantiliners, sponges, litter, carpets, fabrics, and air filters.

- 10. The method of Claim 1, wherein the polymer comprises an amount of about 0.1 to about 5.0 percent by weight of the composition.
- 11. The method of Claim 8, wherein the polymer comprises an amount of about 0.5 to about 1.0 percent by weight of the composition.
- 12. The method of Claim 1, wherein the microorganism is a bacterium, yeast, fungus, protozoan, virus, mold, or algae.
- 13. The method of Claim 1, wherein the microorganism is a bacterium selected from the group consisting of *Bacterium ammoniagenes* and *Proteus mirabilis*.
 - 14. The method of Claim 1, wherein the fluid is in the liquid phase.
 - 15. The method of Claim 1, wherein the fluid is in the gas phase.
- 16. The method of Claim 1, wherein the microorganism is a pathogen selected from the group consisting of Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, Candida albicans, Klebsiella terrigena, and rotavirus.
- 17. The method of Claim 1, wherein the quantity of biocidal polymer is comminuted.
- 18. The method of Claim 17, wherein the polymer and the matrix material are mixed.
- 19. The method of Claim 1, wherein the modulating activity occurs to urease enzymes in the microorganism.
- 20. The method of Claim 1, wherein the pH of the fluid is about 4.5 to about 9.0.

- 21. The method of Claim 1, wherein the composition is effective at temperatures of about 4°C to 37°C.
- 22. The method of Claim 1, wherein the polymer has a shelf life of at least one year at ambient temperature.
- 23. The method of Claim 1, wherein the modulating activity controls noxious odors.
- 24. A method of using N-halamine polymer, as an odor control agent comprising:

providing a quantity of comminuted biocidal N-halamine polymer,

mixing the biocidal polymer with super absorbent polymer to provide a treatment composition; and

emplacing the composition within an article to provide for contact between a microorganism-containing fluid and the composition, wherein the super absorbent polymer absorbs the fluid thereby providing a surface for contact between the microorganism and the biocidal polymer.

- 25. An article of manufacture for controlling noxious odors, comprising: a quantity of comminuted biocidal N-halamine polymer; and
- a quantity of super absorbent polymer mixed with the biocidal polymer to provide a treatment composition, wherein the composition is emplaced within the article to provide for contact between the composition and a microorganism-containing fluid wherein the super absorbent polymer absorbs the fluid thereby providing a surface for contact between the microorganism and the biocidal polymer.
 - 26. An article of manufacture for controlling noxious odors, comprising: a quantity of biocidal N-halamine polymer; and
- a fiber wherein the biocidal polymer is coated or imbedded onto the fiber to provide for contact between the polymer and an odor-causing microorganism present in a fluid medium.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US01/31641

"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art			<u>-</u>	101/0301/3104	<u> </u>	
Association to International Patent Classification (PC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. +2476.1, 76.21, 76.4, 76.5, 76.8 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched for the continuation of the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched for the standard properties of the relevant passages Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted for the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted for the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted for the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. *** *** *** *** *** *** ***						
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 42476.1. 76.21, 76.4. 76.5, 76.8 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) East/West C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Category* Clustion of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X S. \$823.37 (RUN et 8) [6 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 16, 8-13, 14-23, 26 3, lines 2-41, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, lines 1-8, 27-45, Y S. \$981.658 (RUITA et al) (9 November 1999), (0)1,11-1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. RD 434.052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. Per self-templication or parent published or after the international filing fare or priority date and set in conflict with the special stem of the product or other product reasons of special stem of the residence of the conflict of the constitution of their product reasons of special stem of the residence of the same patent family annex. Liter document of parent published or after the international filing fare or priority date and set in conflict with the special stem of the same patent family annex. Liter document of parent published or after the international filing fare or priority date and set in conflict with the special stem of the same patent family annex. Liter document of parent published or after the international filing fare or priority date and set in conflict with the product or lower underlying the invention conflicted prior to the family annex. Liter document referring to an end datedowns, use, colibition or other pends reason of the conflict of policy of the state after a specific of the state						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 42476.1.76.21, 76.4.76.5, 76.8 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) East/West C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US 5,882,397 A (SUN et al.) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 22-41, column 4, lines 37-7, column 5, lines 1-8, 27-45. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al.) 09 November 1999 (09.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.05.2000), Abstract. Purther documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. The document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of parcial published of the relevant passages are considered to be of parcial published on the part of the properties of the continuation of state of the article of the state in the state alone of the part of the properties of the state of the article of the state of the s					•	
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 424/76.1, 76.21, 76.4, 76.5, 76.8 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international fluing data search (pollution of data data base and particle relevance the dained invention cannot be administrated period of the same patent family annex. **Total comment referring to an ord disclosure, use, calibration of other penal reason of the same patent family annex. **Total comment referring to an ord disclosure, use, calibration or other penal reason of the same patent family annex. **Total comment referring to an ord disclosure, use, calibration or other			i national classification at	nd IPC		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) East/West C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al.) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 22-41, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, lines 1-42, 2-45 Y US 5,981,668 A (FUITA et al.) 09 November 1999 (09.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, onlines 31-12. Y RD 434,032 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. **Total column 4, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,032 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. **Total column 4, lines 1-12. Y document defining the peared state of the art which is not considered to be of perceit art relevance. **Total column 4, lines 1-12. **Total column 4, lines 1-12. Y and the principle of cited document: **Total column 4, lines 1-12. Y and the principle of cited document: **Total columns 4, lines 1-12. Y and the principle of the continuation of Box C. **Total columns 4, lines 1-12. Y and the principle of the continuation of Box C. **Total columns 4, lines 1-12. Y and the principle of the continuation of the continuation of Box C. **Total columns 4, lines 1-12. Y and the principle of the continuation of the continuation of Box C. **Total columns 4, lines 1-12. Y and the principle of the continuation of Box C. **Total columns 4, lines 1-12. Y and the principle of the continuation of the continu				****		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) EastWest C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.			ed by classification symbo	ols)		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) East/West C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 24-41, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, lines 1-8, 27-45. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUITA et al) 09 November 1999 (09.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.05.2000), Abstract. The document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance the claimed invention cannot be considered in one of particular relevance to exhibit on or particular relevance to exhibit on or particular relevance to exhibit on or other special reason (as specified) of the published after of other published after of other published after of other means to considered to involve an inventive cannot be considered to involve an inventive annotate to exhibit the published after of other means to considered to involve an inventive annotate to exhibit the specific than claimed. The document which may throw deaths to a priority claim(o) or which is clear to exhibit the published after of mother claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive annotate to exhibit the specific than claimed. The document published prior to the international filing date but later than the application and calmed on the published prior to the international search The document published prior to the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search The document published prior to the international search Comment of the actual completion of the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search Comment of t	U.S. :	424/76.1, 76.21, 76.4, 76.5, 76.8				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) East/West C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 24-41, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, lines 1-8, 27-45. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUITA et al) 09 November 1999 (09.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.05.2000), Abstract. The document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance the claimed invention cannot be considered in one of particular relevance to exhibit on or particular relevance to exhibit on or particular relevance to exhibit on or other special reason (as specified) of the published after of other published after of other published after of other means to considered to involve an inventive cannot be considered to involve an inventive annotate to exhibit the published after of other means to considered to involve an inventive annotate to exhibit the specific than claimed. The document which may throw deaths to a priority claim(o) or which is clear to exhibit the published after of mother claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive annotate to exhibit the specific than claimed. The document published prior to the international filing date but later than the application and calmed on the published prior to the international search The document published prior to the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search The document published prior to the international search Comment of the actual completion of the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search Comment of t						
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) East/West C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 24-41, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, lines 1-8, 27-45. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUITA et al) 09 November 1999 (09.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.05.2000), Abstract. The document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance the claimed invention cannot be considered in one of particular relevance to exhibit on or particular relevance to exhibit on or particular relevance to exhibit on or other special reason (as specified) of the published after of other published after of other published after of other means to considered to involve an inventive cannot be considered to involve an inventive annotate to exhibit the published after of other means to considered to involve an inventive annotate to exhibit the specific than claimed. The document which may throw deaths to a priority claim(o) or which is clear to exhibit the published after of mother claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive annotate to exhibit the specific than claimed. The document published prior to the international filing date but later than the application and calmed on the published prior to the international search The document published prior to the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search The document published prior to the international search Comment of the actual completion of the international search Comment under the published prior to the international search Comment of t	Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to	the extent that such docur	nents are include	d in the fields searched	
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 1-2. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al) 09 November 1999 (19.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. **Special categories of cited documents: **T* **Inter document are listed in the continuation of Box C. **Special categories of cited documents: **T* **A* **Ocument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention censor be carlier application or parent published on or after the international filing date of the continual which may throw doubts on priority claim(0) or which is cited to toestbilth the published on or after the international filing date of the cuts of the continual relevance; the claimed invention censor be considered not leave the international research to the continual relevance; the claimed invention censor be considered not leaved to invention a invention censor be considered not leaved to invention a invention censor be considered not be considered to leaved was invention censor be considered not leaved to inventions and invention censor be considered not leaved to invention action at the comment in decoment in such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document inventor action and the comment in such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document inventor action and the comment inve				none are more	a III the Holds sentened	
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 1-2. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al) 09 November 1999 (19.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. **Special categories of cited documents: **T* **Inter document are listed in the continuation of Box C. **Special categories of cited documents: **T* **A* **Ocument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention censor be carlier application or parent published on or after the international filing date of the continual which may throw doubts on priority claim(0) or which is cited to toestbilth the published on or after the international filing date of the cuts of the continual relevance; the claimed invention censor be considered not leave the international research to the continual relevance; the claimed invention censor be considered not leaved to invention a invention censor be considered not leaved to invention a invention censor be considered not be considered to leaved was invention censor be considered not leaved to inventions and invention censor be considered not leaved to invention action at the comment in decoment in such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document inventor action and the comment in such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document inventor action and the comment inve						
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 1-2. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al) 09 November 1999 (19.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. **Special categories of cited documents: **T* **Inter document are listed in the continuation of Box C. **Special categories of cited documents: **T* **A* **Ocument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention censor be carlier application or parent published on or after the international filing date of the continual which may throw doubts on priority claim(0) or which is cited to toestbilth the published on or after the international filing date of the cuts of the continual relevance; the claimed invention censor be considered not leave the international research to the continual relevance; the claimed invention censor be considered not leaved to invention a invention censor be considered not leaved to invention a invention censor be considered not be considered to leaved was invention censor be considered not leaved to inventions and invention censor be considered not leaved to invention action at the comment in decoment in such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document inventor action and the comment in such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document inventor action and the comment inve						
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 1-2. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al) 09 November 1999 (19.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. **Special categories of cited documents: **T* **Inter document are listed in the continuation of Box C. **Special categories of cited documents: **T* **A* **Ocument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention censor be carlier application or parent published on or after the international filing date of the continual which may throw doubts on priority claim(0) or which is cited to toestbilth the published on or after the international filing date of the cuts of the continual relevance; the claimed invention censor be considered not leave the international research to the continual relevance; the claimed invention censor be considered not leaved to invention a invention censor be considered not leaved to invention a invention censor be considered not be considered to leaved was invention censor be considered not leaved to inventions and invention censor be considered not leaved to invention action at the comment in decoment in such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document inventor action and the comment in such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document inventor action and the comment inve	Electronic o	data base consulted during the international search (n	ame of data base and, wh	ere practicable, s	earch terms used)	
Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 2-14, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, lines 1-8, 27-45. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al) 09 November 1999 (09.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,032 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document affailing the general state of the art which is not considered to be of patiential relevance to be of patiential relevance of the actual completion of patent published on or after the international filing date or actual relevance which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish basis (as application or patent published on or after the international filing date or actual relevance (as application or patent published on or other special reason (as applified) **Y document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish beliance on the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the	East/West			•	,	
Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 2-14, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, lines 1-8, 27-45. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al) 09 November 1999 (09.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,032 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document affailing the general state of the art which is not considered to be of patiential relevance to be of patiential relevance of the actual completion of patent published on or after the international filing date or actual relevance which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish basis (as application or patent published on or after the international filing date or actual relevance (as application or patent published on or other special reason (as applified) **Y document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish beliance on the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the						
Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 2-14, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, lines 1-8, 27-45. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al) 09 November 1999 (09.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,032 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document affailing the general state of the art which is not considered to be of patiential relevance to be of patiential relevance of the actual completion of patent published on or after the international filing date or actual relevance which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish basis (as application or patent published on or after the international filing date or actual relevance (as application or patent published on or other special reason (as applified) **Y document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish beliance on the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is children or common the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the	C. DOC	CUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
See patent family annex. Y US 5,882,357 A (SUN et al) 16 March 1999 (16.03.1999), column 2, lines 40-50, column 1-6, 8-13, 14-23, 26 3, lines 22-41, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, lines 1-8, 27-45. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al) 09 November 1999 (09.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. The special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance and the principle or theory underlying the invention desired date. The document which may throw doubts on priority claim(o) or which is cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered nowled or cannot be consi			appropriate of the releva	nt naccarec	Delevent to claim No.	
3, lines 22-41, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, lines 1-8, 27-45. Y US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al) 09 November 1999 (09.11.1999), column 2, lines 36-43, column 8, lines 1-12. Y RD 434,052 A (ANONYMOUS) 10 June 2000 (10.06.2000), Abstract. 24, 25 Special categories of cited documents: **Topical categories of cited documents: **A** document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. **Eestile rapplication or parent published on or after the international filing date or principle or many and the international filing date or principle or many and the international filing date or principle or many and the international filing date or principle or many and the international filing date or principle or many and the international filing date or principle or many and the international filing date or principle or many and the international filing date or principle or many and the international filing date or principle or many and the document is considered to lovel or alone of another citation or other special reason (as specified) **Common which may throw doubts on priority claim(o) or which is cled to testablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) **Common which may throw doubts on priority claim(o) or which is cled to testablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) **Common which are priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to lovel or a person skilled in the art document inferring to an and discourse, use, exhibition or other means are priority date claimed and another citation or other senses and mailing address of the ISA/US **Common which are priority date claimed to a person skilled in the art document or priority date claimed to a person skilled in the art document or priority date claimed to a person skilled in the art document or priority date claimed to a person skilled in the art document or priority date claimed to a person skilled in the art document o						
Purther documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Purther documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: """ Accomment defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relovance of the continuation of state of the accomment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to testablish the publication but of another citation or other special reason (at specified) Comment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to testablish the publication due to a north of another citation or other special reason (at specified) Comment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means of document published prior to the international filing date but later thm the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search Comment under the priority claim or other means of the comment of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention cannot be considered	11	3, lines 22-41, column 4, lines 3-37, column 5, li	nes 1-8, 27-45.	40-30, column	1-0, 0-13, 14-23, 20	
Purther documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: "Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention by a special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an invention cannot be document which may throw doubts on priority claim(o) or which is cited to establish the publication due of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "V" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(o) or which is cited to establish the publication due of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "V" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means a document published prior to the laternational filing date or priority date. claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search Commission of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents is combined with one or more other such documents in combined with one or more other such documents and the cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents in combined with one or more other such documents and the cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents in combined with one or more other such documents in combined with one or more other such documents in combined with one or more other such documents are combined with one or more other such documents are combined with one or more other such documents are combined with one or more other such documents are combined with one or mo	Y	US 5,981,668 A (FUJITA et al) 09 November 199		2, lines 36-43,	7	
Purther documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: "I" later document published after the international filling date or priority date and on in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention be of particular relevance. "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filling date. "X" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specifics) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search Commissioner of Person and Trademarks Box PCT Weshington, D.C. 20231 Feessimile No. (703) 308-1235	Y	1	0.06.2000). Abstract.		24 25	
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov	_	454,652 A (ANON 114005) 10 state 2000 (10.00.2000), Abstract.			₽ - 1, 22	
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov		ļ		j		
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov				İ		
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov						
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov				ĺ		
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov]		
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov				1		
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov						
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov		1				
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov						
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov						
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov					į	
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov				į		
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov					_ :	
**Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered nov	Furthe	r documents are listed in the continuation of Box C	See natent fan	nily anney		
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family "E" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is to exhibit one or more other such document is combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family "E" document member of the international search report 15 FEB 2002 Authorized officer Robert M. Joynes Facsimile No. (703) 308-1235				. *		
document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search 26 November 2001 (26.11.2001) Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is ta		•		ublished after the inte loot in conflict with t	mational fitting date or he application but cited to	
"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date with the document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot b			understand the p	rinciple or theory und	lerlying the invention	
earlier application or patent published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Facsimile No. (703)305-3230	•			ticular relevance; the	claimed invention cannot be	
document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search 26 November 2001 (26.11.2001) Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-1235	E CHILLIA	pplication or patent published on or after the international filing	considered novel	or cannot be conside	red to involve an inventive	
to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) Or document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means Or document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search One document published prior to the international search Date of mailing of the international search report One document published prior to the international search Date of mailing of the international search report One document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report One document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report One document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report One document member of the same patent family The priority date claimed One document member of the same patent family The priority date claimed The priority date claimed One of mailing of the international search report					i	
(as specified) combined with one or more other such documents, such cambination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document member of the same patent family Productive date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search 26 November 2001 (26.11.2001) Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Combined with one or more other such documents, such cambination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Authorized of mailing of the international search report Authorized officer Robert M. Joynes Telephone No. (703) 308-1235						
document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search 26 November 2001 (26.11.2001) Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-1235						
The document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search 26 November 2001 (26.11.2001) Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-1235	"O" documen	t referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other mesos	combination bein	g obvious to a person	skilled in the art	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 26 November 2001 (26.11.2001) Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Date of mailing of the international search report Authorized offices Robert M. Joynes Telephone No. (703) 308-1235			"&" document membe	r of the same patent f	emily	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 26 November 2001 (26.11.2001) Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Date of mailing of the international search report Authorized officed Robert M. Joynes Telephone No. (703) 308-1235	priority date claimed					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Authorized of facet Robert M. Joynes Telephone No. (703) 308-1235	Date of the a					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Authorized of facet Robert M. Joynes Telephone No. (703) 308-1235	26 Novembe	1 4 AR CEID 2002				
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-1235	1 1 11 11 P. 10 1110					
Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-1235			K Justina.	Tauke.	ace of	
Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-1235	Box	PCT	Robert M. Joynes		you	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
WITH MAIL LAND A LAND LEGERARY COMMITTER AND A LAND A LA						

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 18 April 2002 (18.04.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 02/030477 A1

(51) International Patent Classification7: 9/01, 9/04, 11/00

A61L 9/00,

- (21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/31641
- (22) International Filing Date: 9 October 2001 (09.10.2001)
- (25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data: 09/685,963

10 October 2000 (10.10.2000) US

- (71) Applicants: AUBURN UNIVERSITY [US/US]; Aubrun, AL 36849-5312 (US). HALOSOURCE CORPORA-TION [US/US]; 3005 First Avenue, Suite 300, Seattle, WA 98121 (US).
- (72) Inventors: WORLEY, Shelby, D.; 410 Dixie Drive, Auburn, AL 36832 (US). BROUGHTON, Royall, M.; 440 Cross Creek Road, Auburn, AL 36832 (US). WILLIAMS, Jeffrey, F.; 3013 Meinhold Road, Langley, WA 98260 (US).
- (74) Agent: CRUZ, Laura, A.; Christensen O'Connor Johnson & Kindness PLLC, Suite 2800, 1420 Fifth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101 (US).

- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report
- with amended claims

Date of publication of the amended claims:

4 July 2002

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: USE OF N-HALAMINE BIOCIDAL POLYMER FOR ODOR CONTROL

(57) Abstract: The present invention is a method of using a polymeric N-halamine biocidal material as an agent preferably used in conjunction with a matrix material for the reduction of noxious odors caused by the decomposition of organic compounds. In a preferred embodiment of the method according to the present invention, the biocidal N-halamine polymer is provided in a comminuted form and mixed with super absorbent polymer to provide a treatment composition. The treatment composition is emplaced within an article to provide intimate contact between the composition and a microorganism-containing fluid. The fluid is absorbed by the super absorbent polymer thereby providing a surface for the biocidal polymer to contact the microorganism.

VO 02/030

AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 11 April 2002 (11.04.02); original claims 27-32 amended (3 pages)]

- 27. A method for making a biocidal composition, comprising mixing a biocidal N-halamine polymer with a matrix material.
- 28. A composition, comprising a biocidal N-halamine polymer mixed with a matrix material.
 - 29. A composition, comprising:a polymer comprising a structure selected from

STRUCTURE I:

STRUCTURE II:

STRUCTURE III:

STRUCTURE IV:

AMENDED SHEET (ARTICLE 19)

STRUCTURE V:

STRUCTURE VI:

STRUCTURE VII:

STRUCTURE VIII:

STRUCTURE IX:

wherein X, X^I and X^{II} are independently chlorine, bromine or hydrogen, provided that at least one of X, X^I and X^{II} is chlorine or bromine; R^1 is a hydrogen or C_1 to C_4 alkyl; R^2 is C_1 - C_4 alkyl, benzyl or C_1 - C_4 alkyl-substituted benzyl; and R^3 and R^4 are independently C_1 - C_4 alkyl, phenyl, C_1 - C_4 alkyl-substituted phenyl, benzyl or C_1 - C_4 alkyl-substituted benzyl, or R^3 and R^4 together form a pentamethylene or tetramethylene moiety, wherein said polymer is mixed with a matrix material.

- 30. A composition, comprising poly-1,3-dichloro-5-methyl-5-(4'-vinylphenyl) hydantoin mixed with a superabsorbent polymer.
- 31. A composition, comprising poly-1-chloro-5-methyl-5-(4'-vinylphenyl) hydantoin mixed with a superabsorbent polymer.
- 32. A method for controlling odor, comprising mixing biocidal N-halamine polymer with a matrix material.

CORRECTED VERSION

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau





(43) International Publication Date 18 April 2002 (18.04.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 02/030477 A1

(51) International Patent Classification7: 9/01, 9/04, 11/00

A61L 9/00,

CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK,

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/31641

(22) International Filing Date: 9 October 2001 (09.10.2001)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

09/685,963

10 October 2000 (10.10.2000)

- (71) Applicants: AUBURN UNIVERSITY [US/US]; Aubrun, AL 36849-5312 (US). HALOSOURCE CORPORA-TION [US/US]; 3005 First Avenue, Suite 300, Seattle, WA 98121 (US).
- (72) Inventors: WORLEY, Shelby, D.; 410 Dixie Drive, Auburn, AL 36832 (US). BROUGHTON, Royall, M.; 440 Cross Creek Road, Auburn, AL 36832 (US). WILLIAMS, Jeffrey, F.; 3013 Meinhold Road, Langley, WA 98260 (US).
- (74) Agent: CRUZ, Laura, A.; Christensen O'Connor Johnson & Kindness PLLC, Suite 2800, 1420 Fifth Avenue, Scattle, WA 98101 (US).
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,

LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report
- with amended claims

Date of publication of the amended claims:

4 July 2002

(48) Date of publication of this corrected version:

6 September 2002

(15) Information about Correction:

see PCT Gazette No. 36/2002 of 6 September 2002, Section II

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: USE OF N-HALAMINE BIOCIDAL POLYMER FOR ODOR CONTROL

(57) Abstract: The present invention is a method of using a polymeric N-halamine biocidal material as an agent preferably used in conjunction with a matrix material for the reduction of noxious odors caused by the decomposition of organic compounds. In a preferred embodiment of the method according to the present invention, the biocidal N-halamine polymer is provided in a comminuted form and mixed with super absorbent polymer to provide a treatment composition. The treatment composition is emplaced within an article to provide intimate contact between the composition and a microorganism-containing fluid. The fluid is absorbed by the super absorbent polymer thereby providing a surface for the biocidal polymer to contact the microorganism.

